

December 8, 2014

Randy Record and Members of the Board of Directors Metropolitan Water District of Southern California P.O. Box 54153 Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

MEMBER AGENCIES

Carlsbad Municipal Water District

City of Del Mar

RE:

City of Escondido
City of National City

City of Oceanside

City of Oceanside

City of Poway

City of San Diego

Fallbrook Public Utility District

Helix Water District

Lakeside Water District

Olivenhain Municipal Water District

Otay Water District

Padre Dam Municipal Water District

Camp Pendleton

Rainbow

Municipal Water District

Municipal Water District

Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District

San Dieguito Water District

Santa Fe Irrigation District

South Bay Irrigation District

Vallecitos Water District
Valley Center

Municipal Water District

Vista Irrigation District

Municipal Water District

OTHER REPRESENTATIVE

County of San Diego

rebate program – **OPPOSE**Chair Record and Members of the Board:

The Water Authority and its member agencies strongly support and have an outstanding record of leadership in water conservation planning, programs and implementation. Through our collective efforts, the San Diego region's per capita water use has been reduced by almost 25 percent since 2007. In response to the current drought, we launched our *When in Drought, Save Every Day, Every Way* campaign in order to further increase public awareness. As a result of these efforts, a recent poll shows that more than 80 percent of San Diegans now believe saving water is a civic duty. While we continue to support the Governor and State Board's call to increase conservation, we must oppose staff's recommendation due to the manner in which ratepayer dollars are being spent and the absence of any accountability or demonstration that the expenditure of these funds is actually achieving the intended purpose.

Board Memo 8-1: Authorize: (1) increase of \$40 million for conservation incentives

and (2) increase to contract authority of the five-year agreement with Electric and

Gas Industries Association for administration of Metropolitan's regional conservation

Staff's recommendation is to spend five times more than its adopted budget on conservation programs in this fiscal year alone (leaving no conservation funding for the following fiscal year). Funding would come from money MWD has over-collected from ratepayers over the last two fiscal years. This money could have been invested directly at the local level, on water conservation and supply programs that would not only alleviate the impacts of drought, but also provide long term water supply benefits. Instead, MWD is proposing to spend a significant portion of this money, over-collected from all ratepayers, on turf replacement on commercial properties including private golf courses. At MWD's \$2 per square foot rebate, this costs MWD ratepayers more than \$1,500 per acre-foot.

A public agency providing a safe and reliable water supply to the San Diego region

Chair Record and Member of the Board December 8, 2014 Page 2

Against this backdrop, we find it ironic that the MWD board just last month adopted a purchase order policy that allows MWD member agencies to increase purchases of low priced Tier 1 water (and avoid the higher Tier 2 rate on an annual basis as costs are incurred), completely eliminating the pricing signal Tier 2 was originally intended to send. MWD's "pricing signals" and behaviors - including this water conservation program - are completely upside down and inconsistent.

MWD is simply burning through ratepayer dollars irresponsibly in the name of water conservation. It could accomplish much more by structuring its rates according to its cost of service and sending true price signals about the value of water. At a minimum, before approving any further funding, MWD should redesign this program to place a cap on the amount of rebate applicants may receive so that conservation rebates are possible involving the general public and a wider range of applicants.

Given the proposed unprecedented level of spending associated with money being paid to private business, we request the General Auditor conduct a financial audit of all rebate programs, starting with a specific emphasis on the turf removal program. For the same reason, we request that the contract authority for EGIA be extended only to match the biennial budget, rather than through 2017. We believe this is absolutely essential to ensure that the MWD board of directors is being a responsible steward of ratepayer dollars.

Sincerely,

Michael T. Hogan

Director

Keith Lewinger Director

Michael T. Logan Seith Lewinger Ty Beneg

Fern Steiner Director Yen C. Tu Director

ⁱ The staff letter states that the current proposed increase is "intended to address immediate issues in the conservation program for the current fiscal year." MWD's adopted biennial budget for conservation for fiscal years 2014/15 and 2015/16 was \$40 million. With the addition of \$20 million in February and this request to add another \$40 million, the conservation budget for the current year alone would total \$100 million.