



# San Diego County Water Authority

4677 Overland Avenue • San Diego, California 92123-1233  
(858) 522-6600 FAX (858) 522-6568 www.sdcwa.org

November 17, 2014

Brett Barbre and  
Members of the Board of Directors  
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California  
P.O. Box 54153  
Los Angeles, CA 90054-0153

**MEMBER AGENCIES**

- Carlsbad Municipal Water District
  - City of Del Mar
  - City of Escondido
  - City of National City
  - City of Oceanside
  - City of Poway
  - City of San Diego
  - Fallbrook Public Utility District
  - Helix Water District
  - Lakeside Water District
  - Olivenhain Municipal Water District
  - Otay Water District
  - Padre Dam Municipal Water District
  - Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base
  - Rainbow Municipal Water District
  - Ramona Municipal Water District
  - Rincon del Diablo Municipal Water District
  - San Dieguito Water District
  - Santa Fe Irrigation District
  - South Bay Irrigation District
  - Vallecitos Water District
  - Valley Center Municipal Water District
  - Vista Irrigation District
  - Yuima Municipal Water District
- OTHER REPRESENTATIVE**
- County of San Diego

RE: Finance and Insurance Committee Item 6c – Balancing Accounts

Dear Committee Chair Barbre and Members of the Board:

Thank you for placing the balancing accounts issue on the committee agenda this month.

In September, when staff last presented the item for discussion, we noted that the content of the presentation was not responsive to the question, namely, how can revenues from individual rates be tracked to improve accountability and ensure compliance with cost-of-service requirements. We are disappointed to see that the same non-responsive staff presentation will be made again this month.

The concept of balancing accounts is well-known and easy to understand. It is a long-standing accounting practice among private water utilities used to protect both the utility and its customers from changes in costs the utility has no ability to control (for example, the weather,) and at the same time, ensure that rates accurately reflect the costs of providing service. Because MWD now derives significant revenues from transportation services, it is imperative that MWD's accounting methods ensure all of its member agencies and ratepayers that the rates they are paying are fair, and used for the intended purpose as established during the public rate-setting and cost-of-service process.

We are asking that MWD implement an accounting mechanism that tracks revenues from all individual rates and expenditures associated with those rates. To the extent that MWD actual sales differ from forecasted sales, it may collect more or less than the revenue requirement upon which the rate for a particular service is determined. Discrepancies between revenue requirements and actual revenues and expenses are captured through balancing account mechanisms, which "true-up" the actual revenue to the revenue requirement in the following year. This "true-up" ensures that MWD only collects the revenue requirement for the rate that is charged in compliance with applicable law.

We do not understand why MWD would be unwilling to extend its current practice of tracking

*A public agency providing a safe and reliable water supply to the San Diego region*

treatment and water stewardship rates to also include supply, system access and system power rates. We are asking only that MWD account for all of its rates just as it now does for its treatment and water stewardship rates. Tracking rates and revenue collection in this manner does not impede MWD's ability to meet bond covenants or any other requirement or function described in the staff presentation.

We are also concerned with the position expressed at the last committee meeting that the Water Rate Stabilization Fund (WRSF) requirements should flow into a single fund with board discretion to expend those funds on any purpose. The melding of surplus funds received from different rates and charges would necessarily lead to cross-funding of unrelated services. Furthermore, the priority for fund flows (dollars in/out) could first be to the separate fund accounts for each identified service, rather than flowing first to the WRSF, as is the current practice, or sub-account funds could be created within the WRSF to track and account for sources of the "puts" into the WRSF and the "takes" from the fund. This would ensure collections from the rate for each service are accounted for and attributed to that service. Surplus collections remaining in that account may then be used to mitigate corresponding rate increases in the following years so funds are spent for that service in accordance with cost-of-service and Proposition 26 (2010) requirements.

We look forward to discussing this important transparency issue at the committee and board meeting this month.

Sincerely,



Michael T. Hogan  
Director



Keith Lewinger  
Director



Fern Steiner  
Director



Yen C. Tu  
Director